

# Local asymptotic behavior of the survival probability of the equilibrium renewal model with heavy Tails\*

Tao Jiang

School of Finance  
Nanjing University of Finance and Economics  
Nanjing 210003, Jiangsu, China  
E-mail: jtao@263.net

Yiqing Chen

School of Economics and Management  
Guangdong University of Technology  
East Dongfeng Road 729, Guangzhou 510090, Guangdong, China  
E-mail: yiqch@263.net

## Abstract

Recently, Tang<sup>[1]</sup> established a local asymptotic relation for the ruin probability in the Cramér-Lundberg risk model. In this short note we extend the corresponding result to the equilibrium renewal risk model.

**Key words:** geometric sums, heavy-tailed distribution, ladder height, the equilibrium renewal model, the ruin probability.

## 1 Introduction and the models

Throughout, for a given non-negative random variable (r.v.)  $X$  with a distribution function (d.f.)  $F(x) = \mathbb{P}(X \leq x)$  and a finite mean  $\mu > 0$ , its tail is denoted by  $\bar{F}(x) = F(x, \infty) = 1 - F(x)$  and its equilibrium distribution by  $F_e(x) = \mu^{-1} \int_0^x \bar{F}(y) dy$  for  $x \geq 0$ . For any  $0 < a < b < \infty$  the integral symbol  $\int_a^b$  is understood as  $\int_{(a,b)}$ , but  $\int_a^\infty = \int_{(a,\infty)}$  and  $\int_0^b = \int_{[0,b]}$ . All limit relationships are for  $x \rightarrow \infty$  unless stated otherwise.

The renewal model is one of the basic risk models in insurance and finance. As summarized by Embrechts *et al.*<sup>[2]</sup>, it has the following structure:

- (a) The claim sizes  $Z_i$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , form a sequence of independent, identically distributed (i.i.d.), and non-negative r.v.'s with common d.f.  $F$  and finite mean  $\mu$ ;
- (b) The claim inter-arrival times  $\theta_i$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , are also i.i.d. non-negative r.v.'s with common finite mean  $m > 0$ , independent of the sequence  $\{Z_i, i \geq 1\}$ ;

---

\*Supported by National Statistics Science Project (Number: LX0317)

(c) The number of claims in the interval  $[0, t]$  is denoted by

$$N(t) = \sup \{n \geq 1 : T_n \leq t\}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where  $T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i$  and  $\sup \emptyset = 0$  by convention;

(d) The loss process is then defined as

$$S(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} Z_i - ct, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where the constant  $0 < c < \infty$  denotes the premium rate.

If in (b), the inter-arrival times  $\theta_i$ ,  $i \geq 2$ , are i.i.d. r.v.'s with common d.f.  $G$  and finite mean  $m$ , but  $\theta_1$  has a possibly different d.f.  $G_1$ , the model above is called the delayed renewal model. Especially, if the d.f.  $G_1$  coincides with the equilibrium d.f. of  $G$ , that is,

$$G_1(x) = G_e(x) = \frac{1}{m} \int_0^x \overline{G}(y) dy, \quad x \geq 0,$$

then the model above is called the equilibrium renewal model. If in (b) the inter-arrival times  $\theta_i$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , are i.i.d. and exponentially distributed, the model above is called the Cramér-Lundberg model. See [3, 4, 5] for details; see also [6] for some recent study of the equilibrium renewal model.

Throughout the paper we assume that

$$\rho = \frac{c\mathbb{E}\theta_2 - \mathbb{E}Z_2}{\mathbb{E}Z_2} = \frac{cm - \mu}{\mu} > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

which can be interpreted as the safety loading condition. Under this condition, by the law of large numbers we know that the ultimate maximum  $M$  of the loss process  $S(t)$  is a.s. finite. Denote the d.f. of the maximum  $M$  by  $R$ , which is often called survival probability. The ruin probability  $\psi(x)$  is then defined by

$$\psi(x) = R(x, \infty) = \mathbb{P}(M > x) \quad \text{for } x \geq 0,$$

where we denote  $R(x, x+z] = R(x+z) - R(x)$ . This paper aims at a local asymptotic relation for the survival probability  $R$ ; see Theorem 3.1 below, which extends the main result of Tang<sup>[1]</sup> from the Cramér-Lundberg model to the equilibrium renewal model.

## 2 Heavy-tailed distributions

Heavy-tailed distributions have been the focus of interest of many researchers in the recent literature of insurance and finance; see [2, 7], among others. We list here some of the most important classes of heavy-tailed distributions, where the d.f.  $F$  involved is always assumed to be supported on  $[0, \infty)$ :

1. the class  $\mathcal{S}$  (*Subexponential*): a d.f.  $F$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}$  iff

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{F^{*n}}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)} = n \quad \text{for any } n \geq 2 \text{ (or, equivalently, for some } n \geq 2), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $F^{*n}$  denotes the  $n$ -fold convolution of  $F$ , with convention that  $F^{*0}$  is a d.f. degenerate at 0;

2. the class  $\mathcal{L}$  (*Long-tailed*): a d.f.  $F$  belongs to  $\mathcal{L}$  iff

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{F}(x-y)}{\overline{F}(x)} = 1 \quad \text{for any } y \text{ (or, equivalently, for } y = 1); \quad (2.2)$$

3. the class  $\mathcal{S}^*$ : a d.f.  $F$  with a finite mean  $\mu > 0$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}^*$  iff

$$\int_0^x \overline{F}(x-y)\overline{F}(y)dy \sim 2\mu\overline{F}(x).$$

The class  $\mathcal{S}^*$  was first introduced by Klüppelberg [8]. Till now it has been used in many studies of applied probability. The following inclusions are well-known; see [8]:

$$\mathcal{S}^* \subset \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{L}. \quad (2.3)$$

For a d.f.  $F \in \mathcal{S}^*$ , from the discussion of Tang<sup>[1]</sup> it holds that

$$\lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_A^{x-A} \overline{F}(x-y)\overline{F}(y)dy}{\overline{F}(x)} = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

We will apply these results in the sequel.

### 3 The main result

The following asymptotic relation for the ruin probability is well-known: in the renewal model with the safety loading condition (1.1), if  $F_e \in \mathcal{S}$ , then

$$R(x, \infty) \sim \rho^{-1}\overline{F}_e(x); \quad (3.1)$$

see [9]. Kong *et al.*<sup>[10]</sup> extended this result to the equilibrium renewal model. Recently, Tang<sup>[11, 1]</sup> established a corresponding local asymptotic relation in the Cramér-Lundberg model and gave some motivations for this study. Further investigations in this direction can be found in [12, 13, 14].

In this paper we successfully extend Tang's result from the Cramér-Lundberg model to the equilibrium renewal model:

**Theorem 3.1** Consider the equilibrium renewal model with the safety loading condition (1.1). If the claimsize has a non-lattice d.f.  $F \in \mathcal{S}^*$ , then we have

$$R(x, x+z] \sim \frac{z}{\rho\mu}\overline{F}(x) \quad \text{for any } z > 0. \quad (3.2)$$

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1

### 4.1 Ladder heights

Tang<sup>[11]</sup> deeply investigated the distributions of the ascending and descending ladder heights of a random walk with negative drift by means of the sophisticated Wiener-Hopf theory. For the sake of self-containedness we borrow some results from [11] to the present study.

First of all we introduce some important characteristics of the equilibrium renewal model:

1. the ascending ladder epochs

$$\pi_1 = \inf\{t : S(t) > 0\}, \quad \pi_n = \inf\{t : S(t) > S(\pi_{n-1})\}, \quad n \geq 2;$$

2. the ascending ladder heights

$$L_1 = S(\pi_1), \quad L_n = S(\pi_n) - S(\pi_{n-1}), \quad n \geq 2;$$

3. the descending ladder epochs

$$\pi_1^- = \inf\{T_n : S(T_n) < 0\}, \quad \pi_n^- = \inf\{T_n : S(T_n) < S(\pi_{n-1}^-)\}, \quad n \geq 2;$$

4. the descending ladder heights

$$L_1^- = -S(\pi_1^-), \quad L_n^- = S(\pi_{n-1}^-) - S(\pi_n^-), \quad n \geq 2.$$

In case the ascending ladder epoch  $\pi_{n-1} = \infty$ , we define that  $\pi_n = L_n = \infty$ . Clearly,  $\{L_n | (\pi_{n-1} < \infty), n \geq 1\}$  is a sequence of independent positive r.v.'s, and  $\{L_n | (\pi_{n-1} < \infty), n \geq 2\}$  are identically distributed. Let  $H_1$  be the d.f. of the ladder  $L_1$ , and  $H$  be the d.f. of the ladder  $L_2$ . The following results related to the d.f.'s  $H_1$  and  $H$  in the equilibrium renewal model are well-known (see [3, 11, 15, 16]):

$$H_1(x, \infty) = \frac{\mu}{cm} \overline{F}_e(x), \quad x \geq 0; \quad H(x, \infty) \sim \frac{\mu}{\mathbb{E}L_2^-} \overline{F}_e(x); \quad (4.1)$$

$$q_1 = \mathbb{P}(L_1 = \infty) = \frac{cm - \mu}{cm} > 0; \quad q = \mathbb{P}(L = \infty) = \frac{cm - \mu}{\mathbb{E}L_2^-} > 0. \quad (4.2)$$

The latter two formulae above indicate that the d.f.'s  $H_1$  and  $H$  are defective with deficits  $q_1$  and  $q$ , respectively. We further denote the standard version of  $H$  by

$$H_s(x) = \frac{H(x)}{1 - q}, \quad 0 < x < \infty.$$

## 4.2 Two lemmas

Before giving the proof of Theorem 3.1, we prepare two lemmas as follows.

**Lemma 4.1** Consider the equilibrium renewal model with the safety loading condition (1.1). If the claimsize has a non-lattice d.f.  $F \in \mathcal{S}^*$ , then we have that, for any  $z > 0$  and any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(F_e * H_s^{*(n-1)})(x, x+z] \sim \left( \frac{n-1}{(1-q)\mathbb{E}L_2^-} + \frac{1}{\mu} \right) z\bar{F}(x). \quad (4.3)$$

*Proof.* Clearly, Lemma 3 of Asmussen *et al.* [12] indicates that

$$H_s^{*(n-1)}(x, x+z] \sim \frac{(n-1)z}{(1-q)\mathbb{E}L_2^-} \mathbb{P}(Z_2 - c\theta_2 > x) \sim \frac{(n-1)z}{(1-q)\mathbb{E}L_2^-} \bar{F}(x),$$

where the last step can be proved by  $F \in \mathcal{L}$  and the dominated convergence theorem, as

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_2 - c\theta_2 > x) = \bar{F}(x) \int_0^\infty \frac{\bar{F}(x+ct)}{\bar{F}(x)} dG(t) \sim \bar{F}(x).$$

Then by Lemma 1 of [1] we obtain the proof of Lemma 4.1.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2** Consider the equilibrium renewal model with the safety loading condition (1.1). If the claimsize has a non-lattice d.f.  $F \in \mathcal{S}^*$ , then there is some  $C > 0$  such that for any  $z > 0$  and any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(F_e * H_s^{*(n-1)})(x, x+z] \leq C(1+\varepsilon)^n \bar{F}(x). \quad (4.4)$$

*Proof.* Lemma 2 of [12] indicates that there is some  $C_1 > 0$  such that for any  $z > 0$  and any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$H_s^{*(n-1)}(x, x+z] \leq C_1(1+\varepsilon)^{n-1} \mathbb{P}(Z_2 - c\theta_2 > x) \leq C_1(1+\varepsilon)^{n-1} \bar{F}(x). \quad (4.5)$$

Now we prove (4.4) by applying some techniques developed in the proof of Lemma 3 of [1]. As was done there, relation (2.4) implies that there is a sufficiently large  $A > 0$  such that

$$\int_A^{x-A} \bar{F}(x-y)\bar{F}(y)dy \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\mu\bar{F}(x) \quad (4.6)$$

holds for all  $x > 0$ . For this fixed  $A$  we can find some sufficiently large  $x_2 > A$  such that

$$\bar{F}(x-A) \leq (1+\varepsilon/2)\bar{F}(x) \quad (4.7)$$

holds for all  $x \geq x_2$  since  $F \in \mathcal{L}$  (recall the inclusions in (2.3)). We divide the probability on the left-hand side of (4.4) into three parts as

$$\begin{aligned} (F_e * H_s^{*(n-1)})(x, x+z] &= \left( \int_0^A + \int_A^{x-A} + \int_{x-A}^{x+z} \right) H_s^{*(n-1)}(x-y, x+z-y] dF_e(y) \\ &= J_1 + J_2 + J_3. \end{aligned}$$

First we deal with  $J_1$ . Clearly, if  $x \leq x_2$  then

$$J_1 \leq 1 \leq (\overline{F}(x_2))^{-1} \overline{F}(x),$$

and if  $x > x_2$  then by (4.5) and (4.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\leq C_1(1 + \varepsilon)^{n-1} \int_0^A \overline{F}(x - y) dF_e(y) \\ &\leq C_1(1 + \varepsilon)^{n-1} \overline{F}(x - A) \\ &\leq C_1(1 + \varepsilon)^{n-1} (1 + \varepsilon/2) \overline{F}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus in any case we obtain

$$J_1 \leq ((\overline{F}(x_2))^{-1} + C_1(1 + \varepsilon)^{n-1}(1 + \varepsilon/2)) \overline{F}(x). \quad (4.8)$$

As for  $J_2$ , from (4.5) and (4.6), we have

$$J_2 \leq C_1(1 + \varepsilon)^{n-1} \int_A^{x-A} \overline{F}(x - y) dF_e(y) \leq \frac{C_1(1 + \varepsilon)^{n-1} \varepsilon}{2} \overline{F}(x). \quad (4.9)$$

Finally we turn to  $J_3$ . By integration by parts we derive

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 &= -H_s^{*(n-1)}(A, A + z] F_e(x - A) + \int_0^{A+z} F_e(x + z - y) dH_s^{*(n-1)}(y) \\ &\quad - \int_0^A F_e(x - y) dH_s^{*(n-1)}(y) \\ &\leq H_s^{*(n-1)}(A, A + z] F_e(x - A, x + z - A] + \int_0^A F_e(x - y, x + z - y) dH_s^{*(n-1)}(y) \\ &\leq \frac{z}{\mu} \overline{F}(x - A) + \frac{z}{\mu} \int_0^A \overline{F}(x - y) dH_s^{*(n-1)}(y) \\ &\leq \frac{2z}{\mu} \overline{F}(x - A) \leq \frac{2z}{\mu} D_1 \overline{F}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

where

$$D_1 = \sup_{x \geq 0} \frac{\overline{F}(x - A)}{\overline{F}(x)} < \infty$$

since  $F \in \mathcal{L}$ . Combination of (4.8), (4.9) with (4.10) yields the result (4.4) with a suitable constant coefficient  $C$ .  $\square$

### 4.3 Proof of Theorem 3.1

Now we are ready to prove the main result. We introduce a stopping time  $\nu = \min\{n \geq 1 : \pi_n = \infty\}$ . It is easy to see that

$$\mathbb{P}(\nu = 1) = q_1, \quad \mathbb{P}(\nu = n) = q(1 - q_1)(1 - q)^{n-1}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

The maximum  $M$  of the risk process  $S(t)$  can be rewritten to<sup>[11, 17]</sup>

$$M = \sum_{n=0}^{\nu-1} L_n,$$

where  $L_0 = 0$  by convention. Therefore, by the same method as applied in Lemma 4.1 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} R(x, x+z] &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(x < \sum_{k=1}^n L_k \leq x+z, L_{n+1} = \infty\right) \\ &= q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (H_1 * H^{*(n-1)})(x, x+z] \\ &= q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q_1)(1-q)^{n-1} (F_e * H_s^{*(n-1)})(x, x+z]. \end{aligned}$$

We choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  in (4.4) sufficiently small such that  $(1-q)(1+\varepsilon) < 1$ . Then, applying the dominated convergence theorem ensured by Lemma 4.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R(x, x+z]}{\bar{F}(x)} &= q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q_1)(1-q)^{n-1} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(F_e * H_s^{*(n-1)})(x, x+z]}{\bar{F}(x)} \\ &= q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q_1)(1-q)^{n-1} \left( \frac{n-1}{(1-q)\mathbb{E}L_2} + \frac{1}{\mu} \right) z, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 4.1 in the last step. Substituting the values of  $q_1$  and  $q$  given in (4.2) to the above, some simple calculation leads to

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R(x, x+z]}{\bar{F}(x)} = \frac{z}{\rho\mu}.$$

This proves the local result (3.2). □

**Acknowledgments.** The authors are indebted to a referee for his/her helpful comments.

## References

- [1] Tang, Q. H. An asymptotic relationship for ruin probabilities under heavy-tailed claims. *Science in China. (Series A)*, 2002, 45(5): 632–639.
- [2] Embrechts, P., Klüppelberg, C., Mikosch, T. *Modelling Extremal Events for Insurance and Finance*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [3] Ross, S. M. *Stochastic Processes*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1983.

- [4] Grandell, J. Aspects of Risk Theory. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [5] Rolski, T., Schmidli, H., Schmidt, V., Teugels, J. Stochastic Processes for Insurance and Finance. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1999.
- [6] Willmot, G. E., Dickson, D. C. M. The Gerber-Shiu discounted penalty function in the stationary renewal risk model. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 2003, 32(3): 403–411.
- [7] Asmussen, S. Ruin Probabilities. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2000.
- [8] Klüppelberg, C. Subexponential distributions and integrated tails. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 1988, 25(1): 132–141.
- [9] Embrechts, P.; Veraverbeke, N. Estimates for the probability of ruin with special emphasis on the possibility of large claims. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 1982, 1:55–72.
- [10] Kong, F. C.; Cao, L.; Wang, J. L.; Tang, Q. H. Ruin probabilities for large claims in equilibrium renewal model. (Chinese) *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A*, 2002, 23(4): 531–536.
- [11] Tang, Q. H. Extremal Values of Risk Processes for Insurance and Finance: with Special Emphasis on the Possibility of Large Claims. Doctoral thesis of the University of Science and Technology of China, 2001.
- [12] Asmussen, S.; Kalashnikov, V.; Konstantinides, D.; Klüppelberg, C.; Tsitsiashvili, G. A local limit theorem for random walk maxima with heavy tails. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 2002, 56(4): 399–404.
- [13] Asmussen, S.; Foss, S.; Korshunov, D. A. Asymptotics for sums of random variables with local subexponential behaviour. *J. Theor. Probab.*, 2003, 16(2): 489–518.
- [14] Ng, K. W.; Tang, Q. H. Asymptotic behavior of tail and local probabilities for sums of subexponential random variables. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 2004, 41(1): 108–116.
- [15] Feller, W. An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications, Vol. 2, 2nd ed., New York: Wiley, 1971.
- [16] Veraverbeke, N. Asymptotic behaviour of Wiener-Hopf factors of a random walk. *Stochastic Processes Appl.*, 1977, 5(1): 27–37.
- [17] Kalashnikov, V. Two-sided bounds of ruin probabilities. *Scand. Actuar. J.*, 1996, 1: 1–18.